



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

BUGSY SIEGEL

PART # 30F 11

PAGES AVAILABLE THIS PART 285

FILE DESCRIPTION

BUREAU FILE

SUBJECT BUGSY SIEGEL

FILE NO. 62-81518

SECTION NO. 6

SERIALS 172

to

200

Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Los Angeles (13) California
August 28, 1946

Mr. Clegg
Mr. Glavin
Mr. Ladd
Mr. Nichols
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Tracy
Mr. Carson
Mr. Egan
Mr. Gurnea
Mr. Harbo
Mr. Hendon
Mr. Pennington
Mr. Quinn Tamm
Mr. Nease

APPROPRIATE AGENCIES
AND FIELD OFFICES
ADVISED BY ROUTING
SLIP(S) OF Reclass
DATE 11/26/85 ADP

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Re: BENJAMIN "BUGS" SIEGEL
MISCELLANEOUS; INFORMATION
CRIME SURVEY

DECLASSIFIED ON 1/30/85

Dear Sir:

Reference is made to the report of Special Agent [redacted] dated August 20, 1946 at Los Angeles in the above-captioned matter.

Your attention is directed to Page 56, Paragraph 2 line 8 which reads as follows:

....." This sentence should be changed in the copies of the referenced report to read as follows: [redacted]

Very truly yours,

RECORDED
INDEXED
HANDLED BY
SLIP CASE

A. E. OSTHOLTHOFF
A. E. OSTHOLTHOFF

62-2837

AIR MAIL

CC: Chicago (Attention: Assistant Director E. J. CONNELLEY)
Salt Lake City
San Francisco
New York

RECEIVED
FBI
SEP 30 11 15 AM '46

RECEIVED-ROSEN

RECORDED

62-81518-170



58 SEP 28 1946

SEP 17 1946

TELETYPE

WASH FROM LOSA 8 11

DIRECTOR URGENT

BENJAMIN "BUGS" SIEGEL, MISC., INFO CONCERNING, CRIME SURVEY

AUTHORITY REQUESTED TO PLACE SIXTY DAY MAIL COVER ON [REDACTED]

OSTHOLTHOFF

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 10/20/95 BY 9-9 mac/100

RECORDED & INDEXED

37 SEP 20 1946

Shine - Los Ang.
9-18-46

62-81518-173
F B I

Mr. Tolson
Mr. E. A. Tamm
Mr. Clegg
Mr. Glavin
Mr. Ladd
Mr. Nichols
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Tracy
Mr. Carson
Mr. Egan
Mr. Gurnea
Mr. Harbo
Mr. Hendon
Mr. Pennington
Mr. Quinn Tamm
Mr. Nease
Miss Gandy

5

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

W. F. Rosen

KX-42

To: COMMUNICATIONS SECTION.

SEPTEMBER 18, 1946 (URGENT)

Transmit the following message to:

SAC, LOS ANGELES
ATTENTION: A. E. OSTENLOFF

62-81518-173

BENJAMIN BROS SIGEL, MISCELLANEOUS, INFORMATION CONCERNING, CHINESE SURVEY.

RECEIVED SEPTEMBER SEVENTEEN LAST AUTHORITY GRANTED TO PLACE SIXTY DAY MAIL

COVER ON

HOOVER

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/18/85 BY SP-9 mac/pib

COPIES DESTROYED
44 NOV 16 1964

Mr. Tolson _____
Mr. E. A. Tamm _____
Mr. Clegg _____
Mr. Coffey _____
Mr. Glavin _____
Mr. Ladd _____
Mr. Nichols _____
Mr. Rosen _____
Mr. Tracy _____
Mr. Carson _____
Mr. Egan _____
Mr. Hendon _____
Mr. Pennington _____
Mr. Quinn Tamm _____
Mr. Nease _____
Miss Gandy _____

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

SEP 18 1946

TELETYPE

SENT VIA

6:54 P M

Per me

6

RECEIVED
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION
AUG 22 1946

STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL

The letter from the Los Angeles Field Division dated August 20, 1946, made reference to the report of Special Agent St. Paul, dated August 14, 1946, in the CAPCA case, which contains information concerning that letter makes reference to that report.

This similar information also appears on page 29 of the report of Special Agent Los Angeles, dated September 3, 1946, copies of which report were furnished all field divisions who are receiving a copy of this letter.

Accordingly, it should be noted that subject SIEGEL is not identical with

APPROPRIATE AGENCIES
AND FIELD OFFICES
ADVISED BY ROUTING
SLIP(S) OF
DATE 11/26/55

- 62-11558
- 2 cc - Los Angeles (62-2837)
 - 3 cc - Chicago (2 cc CAPCA)
 - 1 cc - New York
 - 2 cc - Salt Lake City
 - 1 cc - San Francisco

RECORDED
INDEXED
162-81518-174
F B I
7 SEP 18 1946

58 SEP 23 1946

FBI SAN FRANCISCO 7-13-36

10:39 PM

DIRECTOR AND SAC LOS ANGELES

URGENT

BENJAMIN "BUGS" SIEGEL, WAS., MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION CONCERNING,
CRIME SURVEY. [REDACTED] FURNISHED FOLLOWING

INFORMATION REGARDING PROCEEDINGS YESTERDAY. QUOTE ABOUT NOON, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] APPOINTED [REDACTED] FOR
INDEPENDENT ADVICE, REVIEWED PLANS AND PHOTOS IN EVIDENCE AT CPA
OFFICES, ONE THREE FIVE FIVE MARKET STREET. AT ABOUT TWELVE THIRTY PM

[REDACTED] WAS CALLED TO CPA OFFICE
FROM PLACE OF HEARING AT WHITCOMB HOTEL TO CONFER WITH [REDACTED]

HAD AGREEMENT TO HAVE HIS ARCHITECT [REDACTED] PRESENT IF [REDACTED] ME
WITH [REDACTED] BUT [REDACTED] NEGLECTED TO NOTIFY HIM OF THE MEETING. AT ABOUT
ONE FORTY FIVE PM, [REDACTED] RETURNED TO WHITCOMB HOTEL
AND HEARING STARTED. [REDACTED] TESTIFIED HE WAS ARCHITECT FOR CASINO

BUILDING AND TOOK OVER ENTIRE FLAMINGO PROJECT [REDACTED] A FEW
WEEKS AGO. HE TESTIFIED THAT AT ALL TIMES CORRIDORS WERE CONTEMPLATED

BETWEEN HOTEL AND CASINO AND SHOPS, WHICH HE REFERRED TO SEVERAL TIMES
AS THE QUOTE CONNECTING LINK UNQUOTE. HE ALSO TESTIFIED THAT THE

UTILITIES TUNNELS PREVIOUSLY MENTIONED WERE ACTUALLY VAULTS LEADING
INTO THE BUILDING THROUGH THE FOUNDATION WALL FOR PIPES AND WIRES AND

THE LARGEST OPENING WAS TEN INCHES IN DIAMETER. HE ALSO TESTIFIED
AS TO CONNECTING ROOFS BETWEEN HOTEL AND CASINO AND SHOPS. [REDACTED]

TESTIFIED THAT VARIOUS PLOT PLANS IN EVIDENCE WERE IN EFFECT ON THE
JULY 22 1946 WHICH THEY BOSS. HE WAS UNABLE TO SAY ANYTHING ELSE.

JANUARY TWELVE, [REDACTED] MARCH TWENTY TWO, [REDACTED] BUILDING
AT PRESENT [REDACTED] HE TESTIFIED AT FIRST HEARING THAT PLAN OF
MARCH TWENTY TWO WAS ONLY ONE WHICH WAS FOLLOWED IN CONSTRUCTION.
[REDACTED] THEN TESTIFIED FOR GOVERNMENT AND POINTED OUT THAT PHOTOGRAPHS
SHOWING WINDOWS IN END OF HOTEL AND CASINO, FINISHED WALLS AND ROOFS
SHOWED THERE HAD BEEN NO INTENTION TO JOIN OTHER BUILDINGS, THAT PLAN
SHOWED BUILDINGS WERE STRUCTURALLY INDEPENDENT AND GAVE OPINION THAT
BUILDINGS WERE SEPARATE. [REDACTED] THEN TOOK STAND AND, ACCORDING TO [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] FOLLOWED [REDACTED] TESTIMONY QUOTE RIGHT DOWN THE LINE UNQUOTE
IN GIVING HIS EXPERT, INDEPENDENT TESTIMONY AND OPINION. [REDACTED] THEN
RENDERED HIS DECISION, STATING THAT PHOTOGRAPHS AND PLANS GAVE A GOOD
IDEA AS TO WHAT THE PROJECT WAS AT PRESENT, BUT STATED THEY HAD TO
ARRIVE AT WHAT THE FINISHED PRODUCT WOULD BE. HE ACCEPTED [REDACTED] OPINION
THAT THE PROJECT WOULD BE ONE BUILDING AND FOUND FOR SUBJECT AND HIS
ASSOCIATES THE RESPONDENTS. HE STATED THAT EXHIBIT FOUR, THE PLAN OF
MARCH TWENTY TWO, APPEARED TO MOST CLOSELY REPORT WHAT WAS IN THE
PROCESS OF CONSTRUCTION ON MARCH TWENTY SIX. [REDACTED] STATED IT HAD
BEEN POINTED OUT IN HEARING THAT [REDACTED] HAD SHOWED [REDACTED] THE PLAN OF
JANUARY TWELVE, EXHIBIT SIX A, AS REPORTING BUILDING BEING CONSTRUCTED
ON MARCH TWENTY SIX BUT [REDACTED] DID NOT NOTE THIS IN HIS OPINION AND HE
FOUND THERE WAS NO MISREPRESENTATION. [REDACTED] STATED THAT AT THE
CONCLUSION OF THE HEARING [REDACTED] APPEARED TO BE EXHAUSTED, ALMOST TO
THE POINT OF COLLAPSE. [REDACTED]

67c

9

PAGE THREE

HERE STATED HE CONTEMPLATED NO FURTHER ACTION. THE LOAN OF TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARINGS OF AUGUST THIRTEEN, FOURTEEN AND SEPTEMBER FIVE AND SIX, AS WELL AS THAT OF SEPTEMBER TWELVE WHEN COMPLETED WERE REQUESTED BUT HE STATED THAT HE DESIRED TO GET AUTHORITY FROM [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] WASHINGTON, D. C. TO LEND THE TRANSCRIPTS. HE STATED THAT HE WOULD CALL [REDACTED] MONDAY MORNING, SEPTEMBER SIXTEEN, TO GET THIS AUTHORITY. [REDACTED] WANTED TO LEND TRANSCRIPTS IMMEDIATELY BUT HAD ONLY HIS ONE COPY, FOR WHICH HE WAS ACCOUNTABLE TO THE COMPLIANCE DIVISION, WHICH PAID FOR SAME. b7c

KIMBALL

END

LA OK FBI LA RCC

WA 1-50 AM OK FBI WASH DC VH

DISC

18 6 40 11
10

STATION 57 AND LOSAN ST FROM STATION 57 TO STATION 58
DIRECTOR AND SAC OF LOS ANGELES
BENJAMIN WHITE DOGS DOUGLAS SIEGEL, WAS, MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION
CONCERNING, CRIME SURVEY.

WERE, INTERVIEWED AT HIS OFFICE THIS AFTERNOON. HE ADVISED
THAT THE FOLLOWING ATTORNEYS REPRESENTED RESPONDENTS AT THE HEARING
SEPTEMBER FIFTH AND SIXTH LAST.

SIEGEL, WERE PRESENT
BUT DID NOT TESTIFY. CPA
INVESTIGATORS, AND CPA ENGINEER FROM WASHINGTON

TESTIFIED FOR THE GOVERNMENT AND PUT INTO EVIDENCE PHOTOGRAPHS OF
THE FLAMINGO BUILDINGS, SHOWING FINISHED ENDS WITH WINDOWS THEREIN.
THEY ALSO PUT IN THE PLANS DATED MARCH EIGHT, NINETEEN FORTYSIX WHICH
WERE FILED IN CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

TESTIFIED FOR RESPONDENTS AND PUT INTO EVIDENCE A PLAN
OF THE SHOPS DRAWN BY

WHICH WERE SAID TO HAVE JUST BEEN RECEIVED FROM. ALSO
INTRODUCED A PAINTING OF THE SHOPS DRAWN FROM THE
PLANS, SHOWING THE SHOPS ROOF CONNECTING WITH THE HOTEL AND
CASINO. STATED THAT HAD DRAWN THIS PICTURE FOR THE
HEARING. STATED HE FELT THERE WAS POSSIBLE EVIDENCE IN
18-303-17

TESTIMONY, AND RECALLED THAT HAD TESTIFIED THERE HAD
BEEN NO CHANGE IN THE PLANS SINCE AUGUST FOURTEEN THE DATE
OF THE LAST HEARING. HE ALSO ADMITTED THAT A LINE WHICH HE HAD
PREVIOUSLY STATED HAD REPRESENTED THE WALL SUPPORTING A ROOF.

PAGE TWO
THE HOTEL AND CASINO ~~WAS~~ WAS ACTUALLY A ~~WAS~~ SIDEWALK HE ALSO
ADMITTED UPON BEING SHOWN A PHOTOGRAPH OF A SMALL OPENING FOR PIPES
AND WIRES LEADING INTO THE END OF THE HOTEL BUILDING ABOUT FIVE
FEET LONG WAS ACTUALLY THE TUNNEL WHICH ~~HE~~ HE HAD PREVIOUSLY
TESTIFIED AS BEING THE UTILITY TUNNEL CONNECTING THE HOTEL AND CASINO
THROUGH WHICH QUOTE A MAN COULD CHASE A RABBIT UNQUOTE. [REDACTED]

ADVISED THAT HE ^{HAD} JUST RECEIVED WORD THAT THE COMMISSIONER HAD SET
THE DATE FOR THE ~~CONTINUATION~~ CONTINUATION OF THE HEARING FOR ONE PM
NEXT THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER TWELFTH. [REDACTED] STATED THAT RESPONDENTS

INDICATED THAT [REDACTED] WOULD BE PRESENT AT THE NEXT b7c
HEARING WITH A DETAILED PLAN OF THE SHOPS SHOWING FOOTINGS AND [REDACTED]
STRUCTURAL DETAILS WHICH WILL BE SUPPLIED THE ARCHITECT APPOINTED
BY THE COMMISSIONER ~~WAS~~ IN THE EVENT HE NEEDS ANY FURTHER
TECHNICAL INFORMATION. [REDACTED] STATED THAT THE CPA, TOO, WILL HAVE
AN ~~ARCHITECT~~ ARCHITECT PRESENT. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] b7c
[REDACTED] b7c

KIMBALL

CORR PAGE 1 SECON LINE FROM BOTTOM SEVENTH WORD IS ADMITTED
END ACK PLS 26 10 2 35 PM '77 cc [REDACTED] b7c

SEP 15 1946
TELETYPE
FROM SAN FRANCISCO 9-15 PM

DIRECTOR AND THE ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
BENJAMIN ROSS, JR., SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF. INFO CONCERNING CRIME SURVEY

TELEPHONICALLY CONTACTED AT HIS HOME THIS MORNING. HE ADVISED THAT [REDACTED] HAD DECIDED

TO REFER TECHNICAL QUESTIONS RE FLAMINGO PROJECT TO AN INDEPENDENT ARCHITECT WHOM HE INDICATED HE WOULD PERSONALLY SECURE, POSSIBLY

FROM AN ARCHITECTS ASSOCIATION HERE. HE CONTINUED THE HEARING TO AN INDEFINITE TIME, PROBABLY THE LATTER PART OF THE WEEK.

SIEGEL DID NOT TESTIFY BUT WAS PRESENT AND QUOTE ACTIVE IN BACKGROUND UNQUOTE. [REDACTED] OF DEL WEBB CO. TESTIFIED

FOR RESPONDENTS. [REDACTED] STATED THAT [REDACTED] WAS CALLED BY THE COMMISSIONER BUT HIS TESTIMONY WAS MORE FAVORABLE TO

RESPONDENTS IN THAT HE STATED THAT RESPONDENTS HAD PLANS FOR A CASINO AND HOTEL IN DECEMBER FORTY FIVE. [REDACTED] TESTIFIED,

HOWEVER, THAT OPEN PASSAGEWAYS BETWEEN BUILDINGS WERE PLANNED. [REDACTED] STATED THAT HIS NOTES AND PAPERS WERE IN HIS OFFICE

AND HE COULD FURNISH MORE DEFINITE INFORMATION REGARDING HEARING PARTIES, AND WITNESSES AFTER REFERRING TO THEM. ARRANGEMENTS

MADE TO MEET HIM AT HIS OFFICE AT THREE THIRTY PM TODAY. BUREAU AND LOS ANGELES WILL BE PROMPTLY ADVISED OF RESULTS. 162-81518-17

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 10/20/95 BY SP-7/mj/ps

58 SEP 23 1946

RECORDED
INDEXED
FBI
62-81518-17
SEP 23 1946
Mr. Rosen
bx [REDACTED]

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

SEP 16 1946

TELETYPE

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/30/95 BY SP-9 MCE/1997

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. E. A. Tamm	
Mr. Clegg	
Mr. Glavin	
Mr. Ladd	
Mr. Nichols	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Tracy	
Mr. Carson	
Mr. Egan	
Mr. Gurnea	
Mr. Harbo	
Mr. Hendon	
Mr. Pennington	
Mr. Quinn Tamm	
Mr. Nease	
Miss Gandy	

CONF TWO STATS WASH 812 AND LOSAN 83 FROM SFRA 9-16-46 8-00 PM RFM

DIRECTOR AND SAC LOS ANGELES

BENJAMIN FRANK BUGS SIEGEL WAS. NISC. INFO. CONCERNING, CRIME SURVEY
[REDACTED] ON VACATION FROM STANFORD UNTIL SEPTEMBER TWENTY
THIRD AND UNAVAILABLE FOR INTERVIEW TODAY. EFFORTS TO CONTACT FRANK HIM
CONTINUING.

KIMBALL

END ACK PLS
68 SEP 25 1946

RECEIVED 8-18-46

RECORDED

62-81518-178
F B I
7 SEP 18 1946
bx

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

SEP 14 1946

TELEMETER

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 10/30/83 BY SP-7 mac/ps

Mr. Tolson _____
Mr. E. A. Tamm _____
Mr. Clegg _____
Mr. Glavin _____
Mr. Ladd _____
Mr. Nichols _____
Mr. Rosen _____
Mr. Tracy _____
Mr. Carson _____
Mr. Egan _____
Mr. Gurnea _____
Mr. Harbo _____
Mr. Hendon _____
Mr. Pennington _____
Mr. Quinn Tamm _____
Mr. Nease _____
Miss Gandy _____

WASHINGTON FROM SAN FRANCISCO 82 9-12-46 7-54 PM

DIRECTOR AND SAC LOS ANGELES URGENT

BENJAMIN "BUGS" SIEGEL, MISCELLANEOUS, INFORMATION CONCERNING
CRIME SURVEY. SIEGEL [REDACTED] AND TWO OTHER
MEN POSSIBLY [REDACTED] ARRIVED HERE VIA WESTERN AIR LINES
NINE AM TODAY. IMMEDIATELY PROCEEDED TO ST. FRANCIS HOTEL
WHERE REGISTERED FOR DAY ONLY.

KIMBALL

LOS ANGELES ADVISED

162-81518-179
F B I
7 SEP 18 1946

RECORDED

58 SEP 23 1946

ch [REDACTED]

CC: [REDACTED]

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

F.B.I. TELETYPE

CLASSIFIED BY *10/15/80*
DECLASSIFY ON: *DATE*
10/30/85

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN
OTHERWISE

- Mr. Tolson
- Mr. E. A. Tamm
- Mr. Clegg
- Mr. Coffey
- Mr. Glavin
- Mr. Ladd
- Mr. Nichols
- Mr. Rosen
- Mr. Tracy
- Mr. Carson
- Mr. Egan
- Mr. Hendon
- Mr. Pennington
- Mr. Quinn Tamm
- Mr. Nease
- Miss Gandy

WASHINGTON FROM SAN FRANCISCO 8T

7:51 PM RN

DIRECTOR AND SAC LOS ANGELES

URGENT

BENJAMIN QUOTE BUGS UNQUOTE SIEGEL, MISC. INFORMATION CONCERN-
ING, CRIME SURVEY. MICROPHONE SURVEILLANCE OF SUBJECT, ROOM
401, ST. FRANCIS HOTEL, SAN FRANCISCO, INSTALLED 11:00 AM TO-
DAY.

A ADVISED
RECEIVED

5:09 PM EST

KIMBALL

62-81548-48

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D.C.

SEP 18 1964

TELETYPE

URGENT WASH AND SANF FROM LOSA
RE: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] INFO CONCERNING [REDACTED] SOURCE
ADVISES THAT LAST NIGHT SIEGE INFORMED
[REDACTED] THAT QUOTE WE WERE THE CASE BROUGHT NO
FURTHER INFORMATION AVAILABLE HERE CONCERNING NATURE OF TEST
IMPT PRESENTED AT O. J. HEARING. SAN FRAN WILL SECURE DE-
TAILS OF HEARING AND FURNISH SAME TO BUREAU AND L. A. AS
SOON AS POSSIBLE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/20/83 BY SP-100/STP

THE FIRST TWO THREE SHD BE "WON"

RECORDED

162-1000-100
F B I
SEP 18 1964

83512

7-11-64
5/11/64

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11-15-2000 BY 1042

WASHINGTON FROM SAN FRANCISCO 82 5-16-46 10 10 PM

DIRECTOR [REDACTED] AND SAC LOS ANGELES ROUTIN

BENJAMIN HUGH SIEGEL, MISCELLANEOUS, INFORMATION CONCERNING

CRIME SURVEY, BENTEL SEPTEMBER FIFTEEN, SUBJECT DID NOT ARRIVE
HERE LAST NIGHT. [REDACTED] DISCONTINUED ONE THIRTY

IN TODAY

AIRMAIL

LOS ANGELES 82

ADVISED SEPARATELY

52 SEP 23 1946

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 8/28/86 BY 9-77000-100

ONE TWO STATIONS MARK 81 AND LORAN 81 FROM SFRAH 9-12-46 11-47 AM

DIRECTOR AND SAC LOS ANGELES URGENT

BENJAMIN EUGS SIEGEL, WAS., MISC. INFO CONCERNING, CRIME
SURVEY. CPA HEARING AT ~~UNRECORDED~~ WHITCOMB HOTEL

LASTED UNTIL EIGHT
FORTY PM, SIEGEL, ~~REDACTED~~

~~REDACTED~~ TOOK TAXI TO AIRPORT ~~REDACTED~~ IMMEDIATELY AFTER.
THEY LEFT VIA WESTERN AIR LINES FLIGHT THREE THIRTY FIVE AT TEN PM DUE
TO ARRIVE LOS ANGELES AT MIDNIGHT. A SEVENTH RESERVATION, MADE BY b7c

~~REDACTED~~ WAS CANCELLED AND
~~REDACTED~~ IS SPENDING NIGHT IN ROOM FOUR ZERO ONE, ST FRANCIS HOTEL
FOR WHICH SIEGEL HAD REGISTERED THIS MORNING. ~~REDACTED~~

ALSO CANCELLED THEIR RESERVATIONS ON FLIGHT ~~REDACTED~~ THREE THIRTY FIVE

~~REDACTED~~ UNAVAILABLE FOR INTERVIEW TONIGHT HE WILL
BE INTERVIEWED TOMORROW MORNING AND COMMISSIONER-S DECISION, IF ANY b2
PROMPTLY FURNISHED BUREAU AND LOS ANGELES ~~REDACTED~~ b7D

~~REDACTED~~ DISCONTINUED NINE PM, SEPTEMBER TWELVE 62-81578-183

END ACK PLS

58 SEP 23 1946

RECORDED

INDEXED 341

7 SEP 1946

19

SEP 2 1946

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/30/85 BY SP-7 MAC/106

TELETYPE

b7c

CONF TWO STATIONS WASH 813 AND LOSAN 85 FROM SFRAH 9-12-46 8-40 PM
DIRECTOR AND SAC LOS ANGELES & R GE NT
BENJAMIN BUGS BIEGEL WAS, MISC. INFO. CONCERNING, CRIME SURVEY
[REDACTED] ADVISED SUBJECT [REDACTED] AND OTHERS DISCUSSED
TESTIMONY IN FLAMINGO CASE FROM 11 AM TO NOON TODAY IN SAME VEIN AS
PREVIOUS DISCUSSIONS HERE. SUBJECT, [REDACTED] AND OTHERS HAVE
BEEN AT CPA [REDACTED] HEARING HELD AT WHITCOMB HOTEL, 1231 MARKET
ST. SAN FRANCISCO SINCE 1 PM. HEARING STILL CONTINUING AS
OF 8 PM. AT THAT TIME [REDACTED] CALLED WESTERN AIR LINES AND RESERVED
SEVEN SEATS IN HIS NAME FOR FLIGHT 335 LEAVING HERE AT 9-30 PM. HE
ALSO REQUESTED SEATS FOR [REDACTED] ON SAME [REDACTED] FLIGHT
SHORTLY BEFORE [REDACTED] MADE CALL AND SAID HEARING MIGHT GO ON UNTIL
11 PM. INVESTIGATION CONTINUING.

SEP 23 1946
END ACK PLS

Handwritten initials and markings

KIMBALL

RECORDED
&
INDEXED
162-81518-184
FBI
7 SEP 18 1946
b2 21cc [REDACTED]

**Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Los Angeles (15) California
August 28, 1946**

IN REPLY, PLEASE REFER TO
FILE NO. 62-2857

Director, FBI

~~PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL~~

Attention: Assistant Director ROSEN

Re: BENJAMIN "BUGS" SIEGEL
MISCELLANEOUS; INFORMATION CONCERNING;
CRIME SURVEY

Dear Sir:

This will confirm my telephone conversation of August 27, 1946
with Assistant Director ROSEN.

On August 26, 1946, former Special Agent [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] requested an appointment with Special Agent in Charge
R. B. HOOD and came to the Los Angeles Office and advised Mr. HOOD
that he had been acquainted with [REDACTED] for a long period of
time and had done some investigation work for him recently as well as
for [REDACTED]. He said that the fact that
agents interviewed [REDACTED]
on the Flamingo Hotel project, had been brought to the attention of
[REDACTED] had, therefore, requested that [REDACTED] come
to the Los Angeles Office and advise us that [REDACTED] was ready at any
time to come into the office and answer any questions we might desire to
ask of him. Mr. HOOD advised [REDACTED] that he did not know of any questions
that we desired to put to [REDACTED] at this time, but stated that the
case was assigned to me without, of course, disclosing to [REDACTED] that
this matter was being handled as a special assignment.

I then interviewed [REDACTED] together with Mr. HOOD. I asked
[REDACTED] exactly what his position was in this matter and he stated that,
"You might say that I have been hired as public relations counsel
to come to you and let you know what their attitude is". He said
that [REDACTED] had convinced SIEGEL that the three of them
should come into the F.B.I. and answer any questions that might be put
to them. He said that they are willing to admit that at this point
"they are whipped"; that they are unable to secure a loan anywhere
on the Flamingo Hotel because all bankers are turning them down due to
the C.P.A. and F.B.I. investigation. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED]
had brought SIEGEL into the Flamingo Hotel deal because [REDACTED] did
not know how to operate a gambling casino, but that SIEGEL had had

57 SEP 24 1946

COPIES DESTROYED
44 NOV 20 1964

RECORDED
&
INDEXED

EX-11
303

162-81518-1
F B I
7 SEP 18 1946

Director, FBI

August 28, 1946

Re: BENJAMIN "BUGS" SIEGEL

considerable experience along this line. [redacted] realizes now that he made a bad bargain because of the character SIEGEL is and he wants to try to buy SIEGEL out. [redacted] said that SIEGEL is willing to take a 25% loss and sell his interest in the Hotel. If [redacted] cannot raise the money then he plans to sell out to SIEGEL.

that the F.B.I. is attempting to prove that a bribe was passed to the C.P.A. man at Reno, in order to get the go ahead from the C.P.A. for the construction of the Flamingo Hotel. [redacted] assured that no such bribe had been passed by [redacted] but they gave no assurance as to what SIEGEL might have done. No comment was made to [redacted] as to whether or not we were attempting to prove a bribery case and he was advised quite definitely that we saw no point in them sending him to the Los Angeles Office to make known their attitude since we assume that any citizen is always ready and willing to talk to Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation in connection with an investigation. [redacted] was advised that at this time we had no desire to interview [redacted] or SIEGEL.

In connection with the financial difficulties of
and SEEGL

Very truly yours,

A. E. OSTHOLTHOFF

A. E. OSTHOLTHOFF

AEC
62-2857

WASH 3 AND LOS ANGELES 2 FROM SF 9-13-46 10-20 AM
DIRECTOR AND SAC, LOS ANGELES

BENJAMIN QUOTE BOGS UNQUOTE SIEGEL, WAS, WISC. INFO, CONCERN
CRIME SURVEY. [REDACTED] TELEPHONICAL

CONTACTED AT HIS HOME THIS MORNING. HE ADVISED THAT [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] APPOINTED BY COMMISSIONER [REDACTED]
GAVE HIS OPINION THAT FLAMINGO PROJECT WAS ONE BUILDING AND THAT
AT CONCLUSION OF HEARING YESTERDAY [REDACTED] DECIDED IN FAVOR OF
SIEGEL AND ASSOCIATES. [REDACTED] STATED THAT

[REDACTED] FOR
RESPONDENTS, HAD TESTIFIED AND INTRODUCED A NUMBER OF OTHER
PLANS AND THAT CPA HAD AN ARCHITECT [REDACTED] FOR
REBUTTAL. [REDACTED] FURTHER ADVISED THAT [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] AND [REDACTED] KNEW EACH
OTHER PERSONALLY. AT ABOUT FOUR P. M. YESTERDAY [REDACTED]
CALLED [REDACTED] IN LOS ANGELES AND TOLD HIM NOT TO LET [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] MAKE AN APPEARANCE AT TWO P. M. TODAY OR THEY WOULD ALL
QUOTE THROWN IN WITH THE CAN UNQUOTE. AT SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT
LAST NIGHT [REDACTED] AND SIEGEL MENTIONED [REDACTED]
THAT [REDACTED] COULD NOT REMEMBER ANYTHING AND DID NOT EVEN
MAKE NOTES AS A PERSON WITH A POOR MEMORY USUALLY DOES.

APPOINTMENT MADE TO MEET [REDACTED] AT CPA OFFICE AT TWO P. M.
THIS AFTERNOON. BUREAU AND LOS ANGELES WILL BE ADVISED OF
RESULTS OF INTERVIEW.

52 SEP 23 1946

END PLS ACK

RECEIVED
SEP 23 1946
KIMBALL

RECORDED
INDEXED
FBI
SEP 18 1946
317

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET5

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☒ Deleted under exemption(s) b6, b7C, b7D with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☐ For your information:

- ☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

62-81518-171 pgs 61 to 65

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

MAIL COVERS

In accordance with Bureau authorization, 60 day mail covers were placed on the following addresses with the Post Office Department:

1. [REDACTED]
 2. VIRGINIA HILL
19814 Wilshire Boulevard
Los Angeles, California.
 3. All mail received at
721 North Doherty Drive
Los Angeles, California
- [REDACTED]

- P E N D I N G -

THE NEW YORK CITY FIELD DIVISION

At New York City, will identify and furnish information relative to [redacted] In this regard reference is made to the teletype to the Bureau, Boston, and New York Field Offices, dated August 13, 1946, in which information regarding [redacted] was set forth. b7c

Will furnish information available regarding MEYER LANSKY, telephone no. TRafalgar 7-2629, New York City. With reference to LANSKY, particular effort should be made to secure information concerning the shipment of one car of beer [redacted] at the Nevada Beverage Company, Las Vegas. It will be noted under the caption of the Flamingo Hotel, information is set forth concerning a contact had between BEN SIEGEL and LANSKY on August 9, 1946 when SIEGEL instructed LANSKY to send a car of beer [redacted] b7c

In connection with the case entitled "Pacific Coast Bank Sneak Cases; [redacted] with aliases, et.al., Bank Robbery, Larceny, Conspiracy, Harboring, N.S.P.A.," in which [redacted] is a subject, it is desired that your office give consideration to interviewing and developing [redacted] as an informant for information relative to the whereabouts of [redacted] b7c b7d

THE SAN FRANCISCO FIELD DIVISION

At San Francisco, California, will report the results of the investigation conducted by that office with reference to the subject's activities while there as well as the information developed regarding the hearings before the C.P.A.

THE LOS ANGELES FIELD DIVISION

At Los Angeles, California, this case is receiving constant attention and the daily activities of SIEGEL and his associates are being followed closely by a special squad at Los Angeles and Las Vegas, Nevada.

12-1057

CONFIDENTIAL

b7F
DEA

The above are being carried as temporary confidential informants
inasmuch as they requested their names to be kept most confidential.

b7C

S. A.

CHARACTER: INFORMATION CONCERNING
MISCELLANEOUS: CRIME
SURVEY

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/29/85 BY SP-7 mac/85

NAMES:

PAGES 1

23;
53, 45;
8, 58;
36;
36;
1, 32, 38, 39;
38;
45;

41;
7;
7;
58;
7, 0, 20, 23, 28, 29, 58, 60;
58;
1, 58;
20, 22;
22, 23, 28, 29;
58;
6, 17;
43;
20, 58;
20, 29, 58;
54;
36;
42;
45;
51;
42;
57;
48;
15;

46;
5, 6;

62-81518-171

b7c 2⁺

NAMES:

[REDACTED]

PAGES:

46;
43;
43;
48;
53;
42,45;
37;
5,7;
33,34;
20;
35,45,46,50;
7;
46;
50;
45;
5,6,7,16,55;
47,48,49,56;
56;
6,9,16,46,57,59,60;
7,6;
8;
7,15;
48;
37;
7;
35;
1,32,39;
37;
7;
7;
53;
46;

46;
52,54;
49;
33;
42;
33;
39;
32;
42;
32,37,39;
1;
54;

b2
b7c
b7d

29

NAMES:

[REDACTED]

MISS. VIRGINIA

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

LANSKY, MEYER

[REDACTED]

LUCIANO, LOU
LUCIANO, CHARLES

[REDACTED]

PAGES:

52;
54;
54;
52;
41;
54;
54;
54;
54;
52;
54;
54;
54;
2, 41, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 66;
54;
54;
38;
49;
42;
54;
15;
6, 16, 21, 58;
46;
41;

2, 56;
41;
38;
16;
6;

63;
43;
43;
54;
57;
36;
60;
22, 25;

7, 42, 56, 59;
7;
44;
43;
33;
33;
41;
61;
61;
55;

b7c

NAMES:

PAGES:

[REDACTED]

41,42,43;
18;
7;
32;
41,54;
16,45;
54;
23,25;
50;
41;
41;
40;
40,1;
46;
42;
49;
37,38;
43;

[REDACTED]

53;
48;
55;
54;
54,55;

[REDACTED]

43;
43;
19,27,30;
32,1,38,39;
32,39;
43;

[REDACTED]

37;
38;
28;
28;
38;
44;

RAFT, GEORGE
RAGEN, JAMES M.

45,47;
56,59;
18,17;
17;
18;
53;
43;
37;

[REDACTED]

NAMES:

PAGES:

[REDACTED]

38;
52;
45;
42;
57;
17;
4;
4;
5, 15, 17, 47, 57;
57;
60;
60;
48;
23;

[REDACTED]

21, 25, 58;
1;
1;
47;
4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 16, 20, 21, 22, 58;
49;
49;
63;
60;
43;

SIEGEL, E.
SIEGEL, ESTHER

[REDACTED]

43;
63;
63;
63;
63;
63;
49;
57;
44, 64;
44, 45, 54, 64, 66;
1;
64, 1, 44, 45, 46, 63;
44, 64;
32;
37;
40;
53;
65;
65;
63;
65;
65;
49, 65, 66;
46, 65;
43;
65;

bx 33

NAMES:

PAGES:

[REDACTED]

37;
43;
43;
43;
43;
36,37;
36,37;
33;
37;
36,37;

[REDACTED]

49;
38;
47;
37;
42;

[REDACTED]

52;
52,53;
38;
38;
37;
37;

[REDACTED]

54;
8;
32;
33;
39;
8,9,15;
4,5,10;
43;
53;
46;
42;
29;
5;
4;
38;
38;
36;
21;
38,37;
37;
21,24;
49;

[REDACTED]

38;
21;

[REDACTED]

37;

bxc 3

Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Los Angeles (18) California
September 4, 1946

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/18/88 BY SP-7 MAC/DB

Director, FBI

Attention: ASSISTANT DIRECTOR ROSEN

Re: BENJAMIN "BUGS" SIEGEL
INFORMATION CONCERNING;
MISCELLANEOUS; CRIME SURVEY

Dear Sir:

I am transmitting herewith a portion of the transcript covering the hearing before C.P.A. Commissioner OWENS at San Francisco, California August 13 and 14, 1946. This portion covers only the testimony of FRED G. TADLOCK, Investigator of the Civilian Production Administration. These pages were copied from the original transcript by the Secretary of GORDON MC KENZIE, Legal Counsel of the C.P.A., who presented this matter before Commissioner OWENS. Mr. MC KENZIE stated that he would make available to us a complete transcript of the hearing, but since there were only three copies in existence and they were needed by the Civilian Production Administration until after the hearing of September 5th, he would in the meantime have his secretary type pertinent portions of the testimony. You will note that the testimony of TADLOCK represents practically the entire case of the C.P.A. in this matter. There are blue prints and plot plans referred to in this testimony which are not available at the present time. However, I am transmitting herewith a photostatic copy of the blue print which was submitted to BENDER of the C.P.A. Construction Division at Reno, Nevada by LOUIS WIENER on April 29, 1946 when WIENER first sought the authority of the C.P.A. to continue construction of the Flamingo Hotel. I have marked on this photostat in red pencil the letter "A" which designates the gambling casino and restaurant and with the letter "B" the hotel building. That is the designation which TADLOCK refers to in his testimony, although at many points in the testimony he refers to a plan of March 22, 1946; whereas, the plan transmitted herewith is a plan of January 12, 1946 plan you can secure an intelligent idea of the points of controversy.

I am also transmitting herewith a copy of a Civilian Production Administration VHP-1 dated March 26, 1946 which is the order allegedly violated by SIEGEL and his associates in the construction of the Flamingo Hotel.

RECORDED & INDEXED

As further portions of the transcript before the C.P.A. Commissioner in San Francisco are received they will be transmitted to the Bureau.

Very truly yours,

A. E. Ostholhoff
A. E. OSTHOLHOFF



Encl. (3)

AMASD

62-81578-187

In the Matter of:

C O S Y

9

GUN* BRINEAM,
WEBB CONSTRUCTION,
and
WILLIAM H. WILKINSON

(FRED G. T. BLOCK)

1

2

3

4

5

6

FRED G. T. BLOCK

7

a witness called by and on behalf of the Civilian Production

8

Administration, was examined and testified as follows:

9

DIRECT EXAMINATION

10

Q (By Mr. McFenzie) State your name, please.

11

A Fred G. Tadlock.

12

Q Spell your last name, please.

13

A T-A-D-L-O-C-K.

14

Q Your occupation, Mr. Tadlock?

15

A Investigator for the Civilian Production Administration.

16

Q How long have you been employed in that capacity?

17

A Approximately four years.

18

Q What area do you cover in the scope of your duties?

19

A I cover from approximately Central California south, and

20

part of Nevada and Arizona.

21

Q Now, are you familiar with the project in Nevada, known as

22

the Flamingo Hotel Project?

23

A Yes, sir.

24

Q Where is that located, Mr. Tadlock?

25

A It is located on the highway leading toward Los Angeles,

26

approximately 6 miles toward Los Angeles.

62-81578-187

In the Matter of:

Q. 3. 3. 1

10

GUY G. H. H. H.
WEBB CONSTRUCTION,
and
WILLIAM H. H. H.

(PAGE 8. T. E. C. K.)

1 Q When were you there?

2 A I was first there on the 15th day of April, 1946.

3 Q Did anyone accompany you?

4 A Yes; Mr. E. H. Value.

5 Q What is his occupation?

6 A The same as my own.

7 Q Who did you see upon the occasion of that visit, Mr.

8 Tedlock?

9 A We saw Mr. Warrington, who represented himself as the Office
10 Manager, in charge of the office of the Webb Construction Company,
11 on the location of the project.

12 Q What was the purpose of your visit on this occasion?

13 A To determine if any construction was being carried on in
14 violation of Order VMP-1.

15 Q Just where did you see Mr. Warrington?

16 A He was in a little office which they referred to as the
17 field construction office on the right hand side of the project
18 as you drive in off of the highway.

19 Q What was the subject of the conversation with Mr. Warrington
20 at that time?

21 A We noticed when we drove in there that there appeared to be
22 two separate and distinct buildings going up. The one closest
23 to the highway had progressed to the extent that they were just
24 about getting ready to start up with the steel construction for
25 the walls.

26 The second project, which was beyond that, we found to have

In the Matter of

RE: G. W. WARRINGTON,
WEBB CONSTRUCTION CO.,
INC.

(P. 10. 7 11 (X))

W. I. 1 . . .

1 been excavated for what appeared to be a basement. One main
2 footing of the concrete had been poured in that, and other
3 smaller footings and foundations were either in the process of
4 having the steel placed in them, and in some of them the cement
5 was being poured that day, and some appeared as if the cement
6 had just been poured.

7 A. WILKINS: We don't want to be too insistent on objections,
8 but we would like to get the testimony so that it is in response
9 to the question. As I understand the question, it was as to
10 what conversation took place between Mr. Warrington and the
11 witness. He is now going into a description of the premises.

12 If we may have an answer in response to the question, we
13 will be able to keep our facts straight.

14 THE COMMISSIONER: Try to observe that. Note the question
15 and just answer the question.

16 A (Continuing) Yes. We drove in on the project and asked
17 some laborer where we could find the office, and who was in
18 charge, relative to the contractors.

19 Q (By Mr. McKenzie) Before we get any more involved -- my
20 question was, what was the subject of your conversation with
21 Mr. Warrington?

22 A I am sorry. The subject of our conversation with Mr.
23 Warrington was the starting date of that portion of the project
24 that was being constructed by the Webb Construction Company.

25 Q In general, do you know the character of that construction
26 or what it was intended to be upon completion?

Q. Now, Mr. Owens,
did you see Mr. Warrington,
and

Q. Yes, (3)

1 A Yes. That particular portion, as I said, as to it, was
2 shown by plans and so forth, was to be the main steel building.
3 Mr. Miller: Just a minute. He showed you the plans, and
4 who did you discuss that with?

5 Mr. Miller: Mr. Warrington.
6 Mr. Miller: I would like to interject here an objection,
7 Mr. Owens, on the ground that these answers are not specific
8 enough to permit of proper cross examination. For instance, he
9 hasn't identified, in the first place, the relationship between
10 Mr. Warrington and either one of these respondents, other than
11 he is a field officer.

12 I would like to interject one or two questions to develop
13 that information on Mr. Warrington, that is, as to who he was
14 and what he was doing on the premises, and what relation he had
15 to any one of these respondents, if I may.

16 Mr. McENZIE: It is my understanding he did mention that,
17 but he could repeat it.

18 Q. (By Mr. McKenzie) Do you know what capacity Mr. Warrington
19 was in there?

20 A Yes, this woman when we first drove in there to find out
21 where the contractor was, we asked him who was in charge of the
22 project, where the office was located. He pointed to this
23 particular little construction office and said that Mr. Warrington,
24 the manager, could be found over there.

25 We went in and asked for Mr. Warrington, and this gentleman
26 answered to his name. We asked him who he was. He stated he

1 was the LEVITT - did you see the Levitt Construction Company.

2 THE WITNESS: I don't like to develop that point. Didn't
3 he tell you his name was Harrington and he was the foreman in
4 the premises?

5 THE WITNESS: No.

6 MR. WHITE: He didn't tell you that?

7 THE WITNESS: No.

8 MR. WHITE: You are sure his name was Harrington?

9 THE COMMISSIONER: I suggest you reserve that for cross
10 examination.

11 MR. WHITE: I just wanted to develop that.

12 THE COMMISSIONER: Proceed.

13 A (Continuing) From my notes -- I might be pronouncing the
14 name wrong but I can give you the way the gentleman told us he
15 spelled his name and pronounced it. I might be pronouncing it
16 wrong.

17 Q (By Mr. McEneaney) What was the conversation with Mr.
18 Harrington that you haven't stated so far?

19 A He asked Mr. Harrington what they were constructing. He
20 explained to us that at that time that as far as the Lebb
21 Construction Company were concerned they were constructing the
22 main hotel building of the project.

23 He pointed to the project nearest the highway and stated,
24 "That is being constructed by the Halston Construction Company
25 of Los Angeles, and we have nothing to do with that." Then he
26 said, "That particular part of the project is to house the

FRED. T. TULLOCH)

1 dining room, because of the terrible situation."

2 He went on to explain that the portion of the project which
3 the Vail Construction Company was engaged in constructing was
4 to be the main hotel building.

5 He asked him to see the plans of the project, and he asked
6 us what plans we wished to see. He told him we would like to
7 see the plans that would show us what the project would look
8 like when completed.

9 At that time he said, "This is the plan that you want to
10 see," and he pointed to a particular plan nailed on the wall of
11 the construction office.

12 Q I show you a piece of paper here that has the notation,
13 "Hotel Building, Las Vegas, Nevada, Richard Stadelman, Archi-
14 tect, Las Vegas, Nevada," and I will ask you if you have ever
15 seen that before.

16 A (Examining plan) Yes. This is either the plan that was on
17 the wall, or an exact duplicate of it.

18 Q That was indicated to you by Mr. Warrington on this date?

19 A That is correct.

20 Q Mr. Warrington then pointed out --

21 MR. McGRATH: (Interposing) Just a minute. The Government
22 desires to introduce that in evidence as next exhibit in order.

23 MR. BAUTLER: No objection.

24 THE COMMISSIONER: It is received as Exhibit No. 3.

25 (The plan referred to was received
26 in evidence and marked Exhibit
No. 3.)

41

P. M. L. T. H. (A.)

1 (E. to the
2

3 A I spent a considerable time
4 going over the map, with Mr. Harrington pointing out the
5 different buildings and locations, and he pointed out that the
6 building next to the highway was the kitchen, dining, or the
7 dining room, casino and service quarters, and was a project
8 which was being constructed by the Webb Construction
9 Company.

10 Q Could you indicate that portion that you are talking about
11 for the benefit of the Commissioner and myself?

12 A Yes. It is the building shown on this plan (indicating)
13 as Building "A", the "A" being in red and made by a red pencil.

14 He then went ahead and, on the map, pointed out the building
15 which is marked on this map as Building "B", and stated, "This
16 is the project which the Webb Construction Company is construct-
17 ing."

18 Running at somewhat of an angle from the hotel entrance here
19 (indicating) are outlines of other buildings, and when I asked
20 what those particular buildings were, which are represented on
21 this map as "C" and "C-1", he stated those were the stores and
22 shops.

23 There is another outline toward the center of the project
24 which he pointed out, stating that was to be the swimming pool.

25 Then the ten outlines of buildings at the extreme rear of
26 the project, he stated those were to be cottages, which were to

(Page 16 of 16)

1 be constructed later.

2 Q Now, these red lines on the exhibit, they put those there?

3 A The maps were (in to them) in heavy red pencil were put on
4 there by myself.

5 There are some other things on the colored map on the right
6 hand side of the map here that were on there. One of them states,
7 "70# on fire main" and some crosses made in orange pencil
8 which were on the map at the time we secured it.

9 Q Calling your attention to the area that is indicated by
10 the red letter "B" on the map, did you have occasion to examine
11 that area?

12 A Yes. After going over the map itself with Mr. Harrington,
13 we stated we would like to go over the project with him. The
14 three of us walked out of the door of the office and Mr.
15 Harrington stated, "Well, we might as well start at this end,"
16 pointing to the end of the project closest to the highway.

17 Q Will you indicate that on the map?

18 A That would be this end (indicating) up here on the left.

19 Q You may continue.

20 A We went up there and walked down in the excavation, and
21 when we got down there we asked Mr. Harrington where the first
22 part of the construction started relative to the use of
23 materials, and he pointed to a rather large footing and stated
24 that was the first footing they put in, that it was more or less
25 their test footing, as they had to make numerous soil tests to
26 determine the type of footing they would use in that particular

1 portion of the building.

2 From there we went down the stairs to the very bottom, and
3 to the other end of the building, and in various places in the
4 bottom of the excavation there were foundations and foundations,
5 and some of them had been just freshly poured with concrete, and
6 others were in the process of curing concrete, and some of them
7 had only the reinforcing steel in them.

8 We continued from the point of entrance to one end of the
9 excavation clear to the other end, and then from there we left
10 the excavation and got back on the ground again, and then walked
11 around the building again on the outside.

12 Mr. Warrington then pointed out to us where they expected to
13 put the swimming pool, and the shops, stores, and so forth.

14 MR. WHELAN: One question there. If we can get these direc-
15 tions as they started out, I think it will make it clearer.

16 Designating the first place that you started, that would be
17 the northerly end of the west portion of the building?

18 THE WITNESS: Quite frankly, I am turned around over in Las
19 Vegas.

20 MR. BAUTLER: It would be the area represented by the red
21 letter "B", would it not?

22 THE WITNESS: I will have to turn this map around. The way
23 that curve appears to be over there -- (indicating) this is the
24 highway.

25 THE COMMISSIONER: The bottom of the map, you are indicating?

26 THE WITNESS: Correct. This structure "A" is the one

1 closest to the highway and is the Halston Construction Company
2 construction.

3 Then the other portion of the building lays, to my mind,
4 over here (indicating) and, to my sense of direction, is south
5 of this project, but it is not due south.

6 MR. WIENER: The portion of the building designated "A"
7 would be the westerly portion of the building, as we consider
8 it.

9 THE WITNESS: That is the one next to the highway. The part
10 started by Halston.

11 MR. WIENER: Correct.

12 THE WITNESS: That is north, to me, over there.

13 MR. WIENER: The portion of the building designated as "B"
14 would be the easterly portion of the building.

15 THE WITNESS: This end over here (indicating) is where we
16 started to examine the excavation. If you can tell me what
17 direction —

18 MR. WIENER: (Interposing) That would be the portion of
19 the building known as Portion "B", is that correct?

20 THE WITNESS: Yes.

21 MR. WIENER: That would be the northerly end of the building
22 going this way. It would be a southerly direction. That is
23 the way we designate that up there.

24 THE COMMISSIONER: You described the portion marked "b"?

THE WITNESS: That is correct.

MR. WIENER: You started in the northerly portion of the —

15

1 The H. H. H. (Harrington) he started in this and I di-
2 cussing at that.

3 A. That's correct, you spoke of, you said was a
4 portion of the building known as "A" in your mind.

5 H. H. H.: That is correct.

6 The three of us then walked over the project, and Mr.
7 Harrington pointed out that the shores and shaps had not been
8 started, ground hadn't been broken for those yet, and neither
9 had ground been broken for the cottages in the rear of the
10 project.

11 Q (Ey. R. Lefensie). During the course of your examination
12 did you observe any tunnels connecting these two projects?

13 A No. On April 16, when we made this inspection, there were
14 no connections of any type between Building "A" and Building
15 "B".

16 Q Did you see any pipes or any other connections between
17 those two buildings?

18 A No. After we finished our inspection we went back in the
19 construction office and I asked Mr. Harrington when they incor-
20 porated the first materials, which would be a permanent part
21 of the building.

22 A Mr. Harrington referred again to that main footing that I
23 described previously and stated, "That is the first part of the
24 structure started," and he said, "We put in steel there last
25 Wednesday or Thursday," which would have made it April 10 or
26 April 11.

1 I pressed him for the date, whether it was Wednesday or
2 Thursday, and he finally stated, "I will tell you this: it was
3 not before Wednesday, and it was not after Thursday." In other
4 words, it was not prior to April 10 or subsequent to April 11

5 when they put in the first steel and concrete in the excavation.

6 Q You referred to Mr. Harrington's office. Where is that
7 located on this map?

8 A It is located in one of these buildings (indicating) over on
9 the extreme right of the print here.

10 Q That would be the westerly section, I believe, as we have
11 discussed it?

12 A Yes, I think so.

13 Q How long a period of time did you spend examining this
14 property, Mr. Tadlock?

15 A I judge we were there between two and three hours on that
16 particular date.

17 Q Was Mr. Value with you all the time?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Was anyone else there?

20 A There were various workmen on the project. There was no
21 one with us, as far as our conversation was concerned.

22 Q Did you ever see that project again?

23 A Yes.

24 Q When was that?

25 A Well, we inspected the project again on July 3, 1946.

26 Q Who was with you on that occasion?

1 A Mr. Value.

2 Q What did you see on the project?

3 A At that time we saw Mr. Harrington again, and also Mr.
4 Thomas, who Mr. Harrington introduced to us as General Superin-
5 tendent for the Webb Construction Company.

6 Q Did Mr. Thomas identify himself to you as the superintendent
7 of the Webb Construction Company?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Now, at that time did you see any plans, were you shown any
10 plans?

11 A Yes. We noted, when we went into this same construction
12 office, that this plan (indicating) or a duplicate of it was
13 not on the wall. In other words, Mr. Harrington turned us over
14 to Mr. Thomas. We told Mr. Thomas we would like to see the
15 plans of the building, how it was progressing, and so forth.

16 Q Mr. Thomas produced another print, similar to the one that
17 we had seen on our first visit, except the stores and shops had
18 been moved from one location to another.

19 Q I show you this document entitled, down in the lower right
20 hand corner, "Plot Plan. Hotel for Mr. R. W. Wilkerson & Asso-
21 ciates, Las Vegas, Nevada," and bearing the date of 3-22-46.
22 I show you this and ask if you have seen it before.

23 A Yes. Mr. Thomas produced this plan for us, and when we
24 called his attention to the fact that it was not the same plan
25 that we had seen on April 16, he stated he knew it; since our
26 visit there on April 16 they had changed the project somewhat

Mr. WARDIN: I will offer this as the Government's next exhibit in order.

1. DATE: 25/05/2014

4. Plan 1."

(The plan referred to was received in evidence and marked Exhibit No. 4, Plan 2.)

(By Mr. McKenzie) What other conversation did you have with Mr. Thomas on this occasion?

A. He discussed this second plan relative to changes that had been made. Mr. Thomas pointed out the different buildings on the plan in a somewhat similar manner that Mr. Harrington had, pointing out that the building next to the highway, identified as Building "A", was to serve as the casino, bar, dining room, service quarters, and that the building marked on here in red pencil as Building "B" was to be the main hotel building; and that portion of it marked as "C" and "C-1" would be the storage and shops.

1 ... Building "A" and the Building "B" on the Plan I. of
2 "AB", "B" and "C", the same as on the Plan I. of

3 ... Building "A", Mr.

4 ... Building "B" and the Building "C", the same as on the

5 ... Building "A" and the Building "B".

6 In our conversation with Mr. Thomas he pointed out, just in
7 generalities, with respect to the plan being shown to us, how they
8 proposed to build these stores and shops between these two
9 buildings; pointed out the parking lot area, and where the
10 cottage and so forth were to go.

11 We then noticed, in moving these stores and shops from the
12 one location to another, that the shops did not become a portion
13 of any building, of either Building "A" or Building "B".

14 We questioned him quite extensively relative to the space
15 between Buildings "A", "B", "C", and "C-1", and Mr. Thomas
16 produced an architect's rule. We asked him how wide the space
17 was. He produced this rule and measured it and stated the plan
18 was drawn to a scale of 50 feet to an inch, and on that basis

19 there was a space of approximately 12 1/2 feet between Buildings
20 "B", "C" and "C-1", and between Buildings "A", "C" and "C-1".

21 (Ey Mr. McKenzie) Would you indicate these spaces for the
22 benefit of all present?

23 A: Yes. This Building "C" and "C-1" on this plan, the stores
24 and shops that were formerly in the center, they have now been
25 moved out here. (Indicating) The space referred to as this
26 space in here between Building "A", "C" and "C-1", and the

Q Now, I am going to ask you to go back to the portion you saw in the first visit, and I am going to ask you to tell me the date when you saw the first visit.

A They visited on the project there, referring to the dates, I believe in the March 11. I think it was about March 11 they said excavation was started. And for two after that it referred to the fact that Better People were up, and the Better Boards continued on to the date of April 11th, I believe it was, where there was a notation, "Some reinforcing steel arrived from the Ceca Steel Company, Los Angeles."

THE INTERVIEWER: Spell the name of that company, please.

THE INTERVIEWER: If I may refer to some notes I can give you the exact spelling of it.

Mr. BAUTMAN: C-e-c-o.

Mr. McKEARIE: C-e-c-o?

A (Continuing) That is correct -- the Ceca, C-e-c-o, steel Company. And, further, "On April 11th, I believe it was, poured some concrete for footings". It continued on describing the various types of construction that had taken place on the different dates.

This reference to reinforcing steel used on April 10th and concrete being poured on April 11th was the first notation that was made of any materials having been used in the building.

A (By Mr. McKearie) No, on this occasion of your second visit, could you describe the state of construction as compared with your first visit?

1 A Yes. On our first visit there was just the excavation
 2 done, this one footing, rather large footing completed, and some
 3 of the other footings in the various stages of construction.
 4 At that time there was no construction above ground at all.

5 Thereafter, on July 3, they were up with construction, up to
 6 the first story and appeared to be they might have been starting
 7 the second and third story, that is, putting up the reinforcing
 8 steel for the second and third story.

9 The second and third story part of that building, according
 10 to Mr. Farrington and Mr. Thomas, part of that building was to
 11 be one story, part two stories, and part three stories.

12 Q Now, calling your attention to the portion you have desig-
 13 nated as "C" and "C-1" on the maps that are in evidence, did
 14 you observe any construction on both premises at any time at
 15 all?

16 A No, there was no construction at any time we visited the
 17 project on Building "C", or "C-1", and on July 3 there was no
 18 construction on any of the cottages. The ground had not been
 19 broken for any of those.

20 MR. WHITE: Do I understand you only made two visits?

21 MR. McKENZIE: We will come to that.

22 A (Continuing) On April 16 and on July 3, construction had
 23 not started on Building "X" and "C-1", or on any of the cottages
 24 to the rear of the project.

25 Q (By Mr. McKenzie) Now, did you talk with Mr. Stadelman on
 26 this date?

1 A Yes. Immediately after talking to Mr. Thomas at the site of
2 the construction, we left there and went down and saw Mr.
3 Stadelman at his office down in the town of Las Vegas

4 Q Who was with you on that occasion?

5 A Mr. Valpe.

6 Q Did you have a discussion with Mr. Stadelman relative to
7 this construction project?

8 A Yes. We were interested, then, after having found one
9 change in the plans, in determining if there were any other
10 changes, so we went to Mr. Stadelman and asked him to see a copy
11 of all plans that had been drawn in connection with the project.

12 THE COMMISSIONER: Who is Mr. Stadelman?

13 THE WITNESS: The architect. Mr. Stadelman said, "I will
14 have to dig them out for you. I just recently moved into this
15 present location and I haven't got them out and in order yet."

16 So he went and produced quite a number of plans, and we made
17 him acquainted with the two plans that Mr. Thomas had given us.

18 Then Mr. Stadelman stated, "Well, the only change that has
19 been made since the start of the project is the fact that we
20 have moved these stores and shops from the center of the location
21 over to the side," and on his pencilled drawing, which I presume
22 they made the prints from, he showed us there where he had erased
23 the outline of the buildings in the center, and had transferred
24 them over to between the two buildings. He stated, however,
25 "This plan is in the process at this time of being changed, as
26 we have discontinued the idea of building the stores and shops

55

1 or the cottages. I will have the new plan out in the next few
2 days and if you want to see it I will mail you one of the new
3 plans."

4 He asked him then if he would make the notations on the
5 last plan that they had, if he would make a notation of the
6 changes they contemplated making, and in that way it would save him
7 the trouble of sending the new plan.

8 Q Did he make any notations at that time?

9 A Yes, he made notations relative to not constructing Building
10 "C" and Building "C-1" and the cottages at the present time.

11 MR. BAUTZEN: There is one thing that might be important
12 here. I note that one of the notations here, Mr. Stadelman's
13 notations, states, "These shops are temporarily held up."

14 I believe you stated that --

15 THE WITNESS: (Interposing) Yes, I did.

16 MR. BAUTZEN: (Continuing) -- that he stated that they were
17 going to abandon them.

18 THE WITNESS: As near as I can recall his exact words, he
19 said, "I am in the process now of drawing a new plan which will
20 eliminate the stores, shops and cottages."

21 MR. BAUTZEN: And you asked him to state in writing here
22 the changes that were contemplated?

23 THE WITNESS: Yes. I asked if he would show what they con-
24 templated, the manner in which they contemplated making the
25 change, in order that we would have a map that was up to date,
26 or a print, rather, that was up to date. We didn't question

56

1 the report of the committee on the subject.

2 Q (By Mr. McEntie) Did you not state that you had been
3 in the United States?

4 A Yes, I had.

5 Q (By Mr. McEntie) How long did you remain on the project
6 at the time of

7 A He went out there, I believe, about an hour or two
8 hours.

9 Q Have you ever returned to the project since that date?

10 A Yes.

11 Mr. McEntie: Withdraw that question.

12 Q (By Mr. McEntie) Calling your attention again to your
13 visit of July 3, and your conversation with Mr. Thomas, did you
14 have any discussion relative to the Civilian Production Adminis-
15 tration?

16 A Mr. Thomas told us at that time they had been pretty worried
17 for fear they would be stopped and --

18 Mr. EASTMAN: (Interposing) I think that is extraneous
19 as to whether or not they were worried. The statement here, we
20 haven't any tieup showing any agency, but we let it come in.
21 I don't think that statement is proper in a proceeding like
22 this. We have no objection to what he saw, what was told him
23 as to the facts, but not his state of mind concerning the
24 Civilian Production Administration. He can testify to what he
25 saw, what anyone told him about the proposed plans.

26 THE COMMISSIONER: I am very liberal in letting this in.

1 I will give it what weight I think it is worth.

2 You may proceed.

3 A (Continuing) He stated that at the start they were quite
4 worried for fear they would be stopped, but by this time they
5 thought they had everything straightened out, and that they had
6 materials and men out there, and they were all very happy.

7 Q (By Mr. McKenzie) Now, what was the date of your next
8 visit?

9 A It was a week ago last Monday. That would have been--

10 MR. WHITE: (Interposing) August 5th?

11 A (Continuing) Yes; August 5th.

12 Q (By Mr. McKenzie) Was Mr. Value with you at that time?

13 A Yes. We were by there and in front of the project, on the
14 morning of August 5 and in the afternoon of August 5.

15 Q Now, what did you observe on this occasion?

16 A We observed that construction was continuing on both Build-
17 ings "A" and "B" and, in addition, a new building had been
18 started subsequent to July 3 at the -- I don't know whether I
19 have my directions straight -- but on the side of the project
20 toward Los Angeles there was a building towards the rear of the
21 project, and on the Los Angeles side, which had been started and
22 from the highway, it appeared to be some 50 or 60 feet long and
23 probably 30 or 40 feet wide. At this time the studding was up
24 for the walls and ceiling joints had been put in place.

25 THE COMMISSIONER: Approximately back of what building
26 designated was that?

1 THE WITNESS: Well, I will show you on the map, Mr.
2 Commissioner. It was up in this portion (indicating) of the
3 project.

4 THE COMMISSIONER: To the right of the building designated
5 as "E"?

6 THE WITNESS: That is correct. It was right out here
7 (indicating).

8 Q (By Mr. McKenzie) Had you noticed this building before?

9 A No. We were there on July 3 and the building was not there
10 because we drove out back all around in here (indicating) at the
11 time we went into the project.

12 Q Now, at any time after your first visit on April 16 did you
13 check the area you have designated as "E" for tunnels or other
14 connections with the area you have designated as "A"?

15 A On April 16, of course, we went down in the project itself.
16 On that date there were no tunnels, pipes, utility connections,
17 or any other type of connection, going in or leading from
18 Building "E".

19 On July 3, when we were on the project, when construction
20 was going on, we were not in any portion of Building "A".
21 However, we were entirely around it and there were no visible
22 signs of any tunnels, pipe lines, or anything of a like nature.

23 I can say definitely on April 16 there were no such connections
24 because at that time we could see by being down in the
25 project itself.

26 Q Who did you see on August 5 when you arrived there?

29

1 A We didn't converse with anyone on that date.

2 Q How long did you remain on the site of the project?

3 A Except being out right on the edge of the building, we
4 didn't drive past on the project on that date.

5 Q Was there any construction on the site that you have indi-
6 cated as "C" and "C-1"?

7 A No; at least none was visible on this last trip.

8 Mr. McEMILLIN: No further direct examination.

9 CROSS EXAMINATION

10 Q (By Mr. Bautrer) Mr. Taglock, with respect to this last
11 visit on August 5, you stated that there were no visible
12 tunnels or other appurtenances connecting one portion of the
13 building, which you designated as "B" to the other portion of
14 the building which you designated as "A". Did you make any
15 inquiry as to whether or not such tunnels existed since you
16 didn't see them?

17 A On August 5 th we didn't converse with anybody on the
18 project at all.

19 Q Did you make any inquiry upon July 5, when you didn't see
20 any of the tunnels?

21 A No.

22 Q You didn't inquire?

23 A No.

24 Q Did you make any request of anyone on the property, the
25 General Superintendent, the Architect or anyone else, for a
26 mechanical plan?

Q Now, I understand that the portion of the building which you designated as "A" was a service for all of its utilities from some other portion of the building -- weren't you aware of that?

A I wasn't aware, as a matter of fact, that I was an investigator, that that portion of the building which you designated as

"A" was to be a service for all of its utilities from some other portion of the building -- weren't you aware of that?

A I was not at all concerned with Building "A" because very obviously, Building "A" had been started quite some time prior to March '66. I was not concerned with Building "A".

Q I didn't ask you about that. I asked you if you were not aware that the portion of this building which you have designated as "A" had to be a service for all of its utilities, air conditioning, water, heat, from some other portion of the building.

A No, I wasn't aware of it because I didn't investigate Building "A". Had I investigated through Mr. Halston, I could tell you more about Building "A", but I was not interested in anything that was in Building "A".

Q You were interested, were you not, in finding whether or not there was any connection between the portion of the building which you have designated as "B" and the portion of the building you designated as "A"?

A That is correct. On April 16 there were no such connections.

Q Now, in the initial, preliminary investigation, I understand that you went down into the building and did not find any of the evidence that you were looking for.

A Yes, I did. I went down into the building, I did not find anything relative to the situation and the will construction, etc. I did not find any connections.

Q Now, you are saying, are you not, that the building here show nothing on the ground or overhead?

A Very obviously they do not.

Q Did you have any inquiry as to whether or not there was any former underground or overhead?

A I made this inquiry: that on April 16, when we were investigating that portion of the project shown as building "B", there were no such connections.

Q But being interested in whether or not there were connections, on your subsequent visits did you ever inquire from the architect, or anyone else, with respect to that?

A Not necessarily, because if we could do that it would be possible, on taking a project of this kind, that you could start in at either end and build out here for ten miles by simply looking on to each building. All we were interested in was the terms of the Order governing construction of this kind and if, in that building which we were investigating, there had been any materials incorporated in this particular building prior to March 26.

Q Yes; get back to the question. You are aware of

1 through 10 of the building, and the portion designated
11 through 12 of the building, and the portion designated
13 through 14 of the building, and the portion designated

15 through 16 of the building, and the portion designated
17 through 18 of the building, and the portion designated

19 through 20 of the building, and the portion designated
21 through 22 of the building, and the portion designated
23 through 24 of the building, and the portion designated

25 A I object and --

26 Mr. BOUTWELL (interposing): I object to that question,
27 or statement, by counsel.

28 Mr. BOUTWELL: That is what we have had from the witness.
29 statements made by the respondent. This is certainly consist-
30 ent with his direct examination.

31 THE WITNESS: I would be glad to answer that question.

32 Q (By Mr. Boutsner): I will put it this way to you: You are
33 aware at the present time, are you not, Mr. Tadelus, that in
34 so far as this building is concerned, the portion which you
35 have designated as "B" and the portion which you have designated
36 as "A", are to be connected in so far as tunnels are concerned,
37 one portion of the building designated as "B" connecting to one
38 portion designated as "A" -- Are you aware of that at the
39 present time?

40 A The only way I am aware of that is through a letter that one
41 of your attorneys wrote. I wasn't aware of that by either
42 Stadelmen, Thomas or Harrington.

1 Q You are aware that that letter was written on April 29 to
2 the Division of Protection of the Environment?

3 A That is right.

4 Q Is that correct?

5 A That is correct.

6 Q You were aware the letter was written on April 29. After

7 you became aware of the contents of that letter, which set
8 forth the connections, the tunnels, the use of facilities from
9 one part of the building to another part of the building, did
10 you then, upon either of your subsequent visits through the
11 property, make any inquiry in that respect?

12 A I wasn't particularly interested, on April 29, if the con-
13 nections were made then because they were not made on March 26,
14 at which time this Order went into effect. From your line of
15 reasoning there, in six months from now, you might have a
16 string of buildings down here for four miles.

17 Q We have got to start to build some place, and we have got
18 to house the facilities some place in a portion of the building.
19 I asked only this question: If, after you were aware of the
20 contents of that letter of April 29, if subsequent to that, upon
21 either of your investigations, you asked any of the people
22 associated with the project whether or not there were plans,
23 mechanical plot plans, or otherwise showing the connections.

24 A I wasn't interested because on April --

25 Q (Interposing) Can't you tell me -- Did you make any inquiry
26 or not? That is the question.

1 A I didn't have to.

2 Q I just want to know if you were up in air.

3 A No, I don't see I didn't, because they were not there on
4 April 19.

5 THE COMMISSIONER: I think in your questions and answers if
6 you avoid becoming argumentative we will save time.

7 Q (By Mr. Baughner) You had a discussion about the contents
8 of the letter of April 19, did you not, which was addressed to
9 the CPA?

10 A Not with anyone except members of our own organization.

11 Q That is what I mean. And you made your two investigations,
12 the one of July 3 and the one of August 5, following discussions
13 concerning the contents of that letter which was sent to the
14 CPA, is that correct?

15 A No, not as far as I am concerned. I merely received instruc-
16 tions from my immediate superior to obtain certain facts. We
17 are merely a fact-finding agency. What discussion may have gone
18 on between our bosses I do not know.

19 Q Did you notice the type of construction in that part of the
20 building designated by you as "B" when you made your second
21 investigation?

22 A Yes. At that time the only type of material you had incor-
23 porated in it was steel and concrete, together with the
24 necessary lumber that goes in that type of a building.

25 Q That type of construction was essentially different from
26 the type of construction in the other part of the building

1 which you designated "A", was it not?

2 A You are using practically the same material. In other
3 words, you are using lumber, concrete and steel.

4 Q Did you, at any of the times that you made your investigations,
5 and for, or see any plot plans which showed the utility
6 setup insofar as the building was concerned?

7 A I believe that my answer to a previous question would cover
8 that. The first time we made the investigation, the first time
9 we made the contact, we asked Mr. Harrington to show us a plan
10 which would represent the building when completed. On our
11 second trip the same question was asked of both Mr. Thomas and
12 Mr. Stadelman.

13 Q When you had your conversation with Mr. Stadelman, he in-
14 formed you of the moving -- This is the investigation, I think,
15 on July 3 -- which was the time, was it not, that Mr. Stadelman
16 informed you of the moving of the stores and the shops from the
17 original plan, Exhibit 3, set forth there?

18 A That is correct.

19 Q That is, to the place where they are shown up on Exhibit 4?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Did he tell why they were going to be moved?

22 A No, he did not.

23 Q Did you ask him?

24 A I didn't ask him particularly. Mr. Thomas said they thought
25 it would make a better looking building out of it.

26 Q When you asked him to make these notations which you

1 testified to have been pursuant to your request, did he make
2 then the plan pursuant to

3 A That is correct.

4 Q Was it at that time you saw that those shops were being
5 temporarily held up as against the original plan? That is the
6 notation, is it not?

7 A That is the notation.. However, that is not the first
8 statement that Mr. Stadelman made to us. We never question
9 anyone electing to put anything in writing. We are not em-
10 powered to question what he might want to put down in his own
11 handwriting. We never dictate what they shall write out.

12 Q I don't you ask him to write down the changes that were going
13 to be made so that you wouldn't have to have a third plan sent
14 to you?

15 A Correct.

16 Q Those were the statements that he wrote down pursuant to
17 that request?

18 A That is the notation he made on there. However, it is not
19 the same statement that he made to us relative to moving the
20 stores and shops.

21 Q Was the writing down here at the bottom in the lower right
22 hand corner, reading, "This is a copy of original drawings
23 which was drawn 1/12/46", was that made at the same time?

24 A I believe it was; yes.

25 Q In this conversation with Mr. Stadelman you testified that
26 Mr. Stadelman told you -- I believe it was Mr. Stadelman and,

Q. Now, when you received that letter, did you think they
were going to proceed with the case?

A. Yes, I think so.

Q. Now, when you received that letter, did you have
anything frightened out of you? (Recall.)

A. But you mean at that time that they were asking from
the CPA to proceed, did you not?

Q. Now, to my knowledge they have never received permission from
the CPA.

Q. Were you ever aware of a response to the letter of protest
which you testified?

A. Yes.

Q. Did not the response to that letter, sent to the CPA, want
permission to proceed?

A. Mr. McEWAN: He will object to that.

Q. Mr. McEWAN: I want to know if he is aware of it. He said
he didn't know anything about that.

A. Mr. McEWAN: I would like to object to that. It is outside
the scope of the direct examination. I believe it is properly a
part of the respondents' case, however.

Q. THE COMMISSIONER: I believe that is correct. I am not

Q By (Examination) Do you have a letter in response to
the letter of April 9?

A All right, I will say that there was a letter in
that was written by Mr. Bender, of the Reno office, to a
certain

Q Mr. Bender being the District Manager of the William
Production Administration, correct?

A To my knowledge, yes, I do not personally acquainted with
Mr. Bender.

Q But you know he is the District Manager?

A I am not sure he occupies an office similar to that of Reno. I
don't know if his title is "District Manager", no.

Q Where you aware then that Mr. Bender sent a letter in
response to this letter that you testified to of April 9, which
letter granted permission to continue?

A No, I am not aware of it, and I can not answer that by
saying that is a permission to continue.

Q You were aware of the contents of the letter?